

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,164	09/27/2001	Todd Fischer	10012681-1	3933
7590 06/20/2005 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
			PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J	
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2137	
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/965,164	FISCHER, TODD			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael Pyzocha	2137			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Externation - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 September 2001.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
'=	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.					
-	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
٥,۵		or orocion roquiroment.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A440.a.b	4(a)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	ratent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/965,164 Page 2

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 7, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Klein et al (US 20020156650).

As per claims 1, 5, 7, 12 and 19, Klein et al discloses communicating an address to a first network device via a secure tunnel to the Internet such that the first network device provides information corresponding to the address for use by a second network device; receiving encrypted information from the first network device via the Internet; enabling the encrypted information to be posted at the address; and enabling the second network device to access the address and retrieve the encrypted

Art Unit: 2137

information posted at the address also providing a decryption key (see paragraphs 58-59, 72, 77).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 4, 13-15, and 17-18 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein et al as applied to claims 1, 12 and 19 above, and further in view of Linden et al (US 6360254).

As per claims 2, 4, 13-15, and 17-18, Klein et al fails to disclose the use of one-time URLs.

However, Linden et al teaches the use of one-time URLs (see column 11 lines 17-28).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make Klein et al's URLs one-time as taught by Linden et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,164

Art Unit: 2137

Motivation to do so would have been to prevent the access of the webpage multiple times.

6. Claims 6, 8-10, 16, 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein et al as applied to claims 1, 12 and 19 above, and further in view of Forslow (US 20020069278).

As per claims 6, 8-10, 16 and 20, Klein et al fails to disclose the use of wireless mobile devices.

However, Forslow teaches the use of mobile devices (see paragraphs 88 and 139).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have Klein et al's clients be mobile devices as taught by Forslow.

Motivation to do so would have been to allow mobile users to select server resources.

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rosenberg et al (US 6363357).

As per claim 11, Klein et al fails to disclose printing the decrypted information.

However, Rosenberg et al teaches printing decrypted information (see column 6 lines 9-39).

Art Unit: 2137

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use Rosenberg et al's method of printing to print Klein et al's information.

Motivation to do so would have been to allow a browser to render the information.

8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Klein et al and Linden et al method as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Forslow.

As per claims 3, the modified Klein et al and Linden et al method fails to disclose the use of a firewall.

However, Forslow teaches a firewall (see paragraph 88).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the modified Klein et al and Linden et al's clients be connected to the internet through a firewall as taught by Forslow.

Motivation to do so would have been to allow mobile users to select server resources.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. March (US 6034605), Bowman et al (US 6751736), and Caldwell et al (US 20020046286) teach posting an encrypted webpage.

Art Unit: 2137

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pyzocha whose telephone number is (571) 272-3875. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm first Fridays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJP

ANDREW CALDWELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

andrew Caldwell